

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/749,440	12/28/2000	Suk-Won Choi	8733.373.00	6061
30827	7590 01/26/2004		EXAM	INER
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			DUONG, THOI V	
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/749,440	CHOI ET AL.				
•	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Thoi V Duong	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	plication. A proper reply to a which places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 2.	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set e later than SIX MONTHS from the mass FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of the date on which the petition under 3 d of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for many than three months after the fiftice later than three months after the	nailing date of the final rejection. F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension amount of the fee. The appropriate extension eply originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ı in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:	•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	considered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 12-18 and 20-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	oproved or b) disapproved	by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other: ROBERT H. KIM						
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The Examiner maintains claim rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph because the specification does not disclose the composition of the FLC material which still maintains the smectic phase when the liquid crystal panel is cooled to -20 degrees C to produce monostable alignment of the FLC, then substancially heated to room temperature. This composition of the FLC material is essential to support the claimed invention.